SYNOPSIS

Name of sponsor/company	Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.
Name of finished product	REZALTAS® COMBINATION TABLETS LD • COMBINATION
-	TABLETS HD
Name of active ingredient	CS-866AZ (Olmesartan medoxomil/Azelnidipine)
Title of study	Add-on, open-label, phase III study of CS-866AZ
Study centre(s)	Sixteen sites in Japan
Publication (reference)	J. Clin. Therap. Med. 26 : 47-62, 2010
Studied period	July 2006 to March 2008
Phase of development	Phase III
Objectives	1) and 2) were assessed in patients with essential hypertension that
	was inadequately controlled by monotherapy with olmesartan
	medoxomil (OLM) or azelnidipine (AZL):
	1) Efficacy and safety of 12-week co-administration of OLM and
	AZL
	2) Safety of 1-year co-administration of OLM and AZL
Methodology	A multicenter, open-label study
Number of patients	Number of patients planned: 180
(planned and analysed)	Number of patients analyzed:
	Full analysis set; 208
	Safety analysis set; 210
Diagnosis and main criteria	Main inclusion criteria:
for inclusion	• Age ≥20 years
	Baseline BP during the monotherapy period was stable and
	fulfilled the criterion: "systolic BP ≥ 140 mmHg and < 180
	mmHg, and diastolic BP \geq 90 mmHg and $<$ 110 mmHg."
	• The 24-hour BP determined by ambulatory blood pressure
	monitoring (ABPM) during the monotherapy period met the
	criterion: "systolic BP \geq 135 mmHg and diastolic BP \geq 80 mm
	Hg."
	Main exclusion criteria:
	Secondary or malignant hypertension
	Myocardial infarction or cerebrovascular disorder
	Night-shift workers
Test product, dose and mode	Monotherapy period : AZL 8 mg tablet, OLM 10 mg tablet, AZL
of administration	16 mg tablet, OLM 20 mg tablet

	Co-administration period: Co-administration of OLM 20 mg tablet
	and AZL 16 mg tablet (OLM 20 mg/AZL 16 mg below),
	Co-administration of OLM 10 mg tablet and AZL 8 mg tablet
	(OLM 10 mg/AZL 8 mg below). If sitting BP did not reach the
	target sitting BP, the dose was increased to OLM 20 mg/AZL 16
	mg.
	Study drugs were administered orally once a day after breakfast.
Duration of treatment	Monotherapy period, 6 weeks; Co-administration period, 52 weeks
Reference therapy	None
Criteria for evaluation	Efficacy (primary and main secondary endpoint): The difference
	between sitting BP (systolic BP and diastolic BP) during the
	monotherapy period and that of the co-administration period (ΔBP)
	Safety: Adverse events (occurrence or exacerbation of subjective
	symptoms/objective findings and abnormal changes in clinical
	laboratory values)
Statistical method	Efficacy (primary and main secondary endpoint): A linear model
	analysis was performed on ΔBP at the co-administration period
	with the dose during the monotherapy period and BP during the
	same period as factors. Also the adjusted mean of $\triangle BP$ and its 95%
	confidence interval were calculated for each of the dose during the
	monotherapy period to assess the effect of the co-administration
	compared to that of monotherapy.
	Safety: The number and percentage of patients who developed
	adverse events (AEs) with a possible causal relationship to the
	study drug and all AEs were determined.
Summary-conclusions	The changes from baseline to weeks 12 and 52 in seated blood
_ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	pressure [systolic blood pressure/diastolic blood pressure
	(SBP/DBP)] were -15.7/-11.8 mmHg and -17.6/-13.0 mmHg,
	respectively, in the group of patients with hypertension
	inadequately controlled by OLM 20 mg and given OLM/AZL.
	Similarly, the changes in the group of patients inadequately
	controlled by OLM 10 mg and given OLM/AZL, were -15.7/-9.1 mmHg and -19.6/-12.7 mmHg, respectively. The changes were
	-16.6/-10.9 mmHg and -18.4/-12.5 mmHg, respectively, in the
	group who were inadequately controlled by AZL 16 mg and given
	co-administration therapy with OLM/AZL; and -19.3/-11.5 mmHg
	and -21.5/-13.4 mmHg, respectively, in the group who were

inadequately controlled by AZL 8 mg and given OLM/AZL. The above results demonstrated the good antihypertensive effect of the 12-week and 52-week (long-term) combination therapy with OLM and AZL in the groups of patients with hypertension that was inadequately controlled by monotherapy with either OLM or AZL. In terms of safety, there was no increase in either the type or the incidence of clinically important adverse events during the long-term combination therapy with OLM and AZL. The dose titration from OLM 10 mg/AZL 8 mg to OLM 20 mg/AZL 16 mg did not cause any safety problems.

Based on these results, combination therapy with OLM and AZL was well tolerated, showed a good antihypertensive effect, and maintained the antihypertensive effect during long-term use in patients with essential hypertension that was inadequately controlled by monotherapy with OLM or AZL. In conclusion, combination therapy with OLM and AZL might be a useful option in patients whose hypertension is inadequately controlled by monotherapy.

Date of report

October 14, 2010