	STNOPSIS
Name of Sponsor/Company	Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd.
Name of Finished Product	CRAVIT [®] INTRAVENOUS DRIP INFUSION
Name of Active Ingredient	Levofloxacin
Title of Study	Comparative study of DR-3355 injection and pazufloxacin injection
	in patients with urinary tract infection
Investigators	
Study Centre(s)	61 sites
Publication (reference)	None
Studied Period	Date of obtaining first consent: May, 2012
	Date of last observation: February, 2014
Phase of Development	Phase 3
Objectives	The purpose of this study is to compare the bacteriological efficacy
	and safety of DR-3355 injection and pazufloxacin (PZFX) injection
	on urinary tract infection at the end of intravenous treatment, and to
	verify the non-inferiority of DR-3355 injection to PZFX injection.
	Additionally, the efficacy and safety is evaluated after switching from DB 2255 injection to loweflowerin (LVEX) and agent
Mathadalagy	DR-3355 injection to levofloxacin (LVFX) oral agent. Open-label, multicentre, randomized study
Methodology Number of Patients	Planned: 324 patients
(planned and analyzed)	Registered: 325 patients (DR-3355 group: 162 patients, PZFX group:
(plained and analyzed)	163 patients)
	Analyzed:
	Per protocol set (PPS) 252 patients (DR-3355 group: 127 patients, PZFX
	group: 125 patients)
	Evaluation of safety324 patients (DR-3355 group: 162 patients, PZFX
	group: 162 patients)
Diagnosis and Main Criteria for Inclusion	Diagnosis: Uningry tract infaction (agute uncomplicated puelopenhritic
for inclusion	Urinary tract infection (acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis, complicated pyelonephritis, complicated cystitis)
	complicated pyeronepinnis, complicated cystus)
	Inclusion:
	1) Patients between the ages of 20 to 79 at the time of obtaining
	informed consents.
	2) Patients who require hospitalization at the time of enrollment.
	3) Patients with symptoms of pyelonephritis or cystitis.
	4) Patients who meet the criteria for pyuria and have bacteria in the
	urine
	5) Patients who require an injection treatment.(any of the following
	symptoms: temperature of 38°C or more, nausea or vomiting,
	dehydration, suspicion of bacteremia, urine flow disorder,
	anorexia, diarrhea)
Test Product, Dose and	Test product (batch number):
Mode of Administration,	DR-3355 injection (D3355I0H11T01A)
Batch Number	Oral LVFX (D3355F0S11T01A)
	Dosage and administration:
	Intravenous administration of DR-3355inj at 500 mg, once daily
	Oral administration of LVFX at 500 mg, once daily
Duration of Treatment	Intravenous therapy for 5 days could be followed by LVFX for 5
Defense The D	days.
Reference Therapy, Dose	Control drug (batch number):
and Mode of	PZFX injection (D3355I0H11T02A)
Administration, Batch Number	Oral LVFX (D3355F0S11T01A)
INUIIIDEI	Dosage and administration: Intravenous administration of PZEX ini at 500 mg, twice daily
L	Intravenous administration of PZFX inj at 500 mg, twice daily

SYNOPSIS

	Oral administration of LVFX at 500 mg, once daily
Criteria for Evaluation	Primary endpoint:
	Bacteriological efficacy at the end of intravenous treatment (PPS)
	Secondary endpoint:
	Clinical efficacy at the end of intravenous treatment (PPS)
	Clinical efficacy and bacteriological efficacy at the test of cure
	Clinical efficacy and bacteriological efficacy at the evaluation of
	recurrence
	Bacteriological response by causative bacteria
	Antipyretic potency
Statistical Method	As the primary endpoint, the point estimate and the two-sided 95%
	confidence interval (CI) based on the normal approximation were
	estimated for the difference in bacteriological efficacy between the
	DR-3355 group and the PZFX group at the end of intravenous
	treatment.
	When the lower limit of the two-sided 95% CI was above -10% , it
	was judged that non-inferiority of the DR-3355 group to the PZFX
	group was confirmed.
Summary - Conclusion	Efficacy summary:
Summary - Conclusion	The bacteriological efficacy rate at the end of intravenous
	treatment was 93.7% (119/127, 95% CI: 89.5 to 97.9) in the
	DR-3355 group, 89.5% (111/124, 95% CI: 84.1 to 94.9) in the
	PZFX group, and the between-group difference was 4.2% (95% CI:
	-2.7 to 11.0). The lower limit of 95% CI of the between-group
	difference was above the non-inferiority margin (-10%) ,
	non-inferiority of DR-3355 versus PZFX was confirmed.
	Switch therapy from DR-3355 injection to LVFX oral agent
	showed the comparable therapeutic effect in switch therapy from
	PZFX injection to LVFX oral agent.
	Safety summary:
	The incidence of adverse events up to the end of intravenous tractionary map 44.4% (72/162, 05%) CL 26.8 to 52.1) in the
	treatment was 44.4% (72/162, 95% CI: 36.8 to 52.1) in the
	DR-3355 group, 45.1% (73/162, 95% CI: 37.4 to 52.7) in the
	PZFX group. The between-group difference was -0.6% (95% CI:
	-11.4 to 10.2), no clinically significant difference was observed
	between the groups.
	The incidence of adverse drug reactions up to the end of
	intravenous treatment was 30.2% (49/162, 95% CI: 23.2 to 37.3) in
	the DR-3355 group, 26.5% (43/162, 95% CI: 19.7 to 33.3) in the
	PZFX group. The between-group difference was 3.7% (95% CI:
	-6.1 to 13.5), no clinically significant difference was observed
	between the groups.
	There was no difference in the type and incidence of adverse
	events by severity, adverse event that led to withdrawal between
	the groups. No adverse events that were considered related to the
	study drug reported among the serious adverse events in the both
	groups.
	Conclusion:
	From these results, DR-3355 injection is useful for treatment of
	acute uncomplicated pyelonephritis, complicated pyelonephritis, or
	complicated cystitis, and there is no important problem in the
	safety.
	December, 2014