| | SYNOPSIS |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Name of Sponsor/Company | Daiichi Sankyo Co., Ltd. |
| Name of Finished Product | NARUSUS® TABLETS |
| Name of Active Ingredient | hydromorphone hydrochloride (INN) |
| Title of Study | DS-7113b extended-release (ER) tablet phase III study |
| | A randomized double-blind comparison study with |
| | extended-release oxycodone in opioid-naive patients with cancer |
| | pain |
| Investigators | - |
| Study Centre(s) | 49 sites |
| Publication (reference) | J Pain Res. 2017 Aug 18;10:1953-1962 |
| | A randomized, double-blind study of hydromorphone |
| | hydrochloride extended-release tablets versus oxycodone |
| | hydrochloride extended-release tablets for cancer pain: |
| | efficacy and safety in Japanese cancer patients (EXHEAL: |
| | a Phase III study of EXtended-release HydromorphonE for |
| | cAncer pain reLief) |
| Studied Period | October 2014 – September 2015 |
| Phase of Development | Phase 3 |
| Objectives | To evaluate the efficacy and safety of DS-7113b ER tablet |
| | additionally dosed in a randomized double-blind comparison study |
| | with ER oxycodone in opioid-naive patients under cancer pain |
| | management refractory to non-opioid analgesics. |
| Methodology | A multicenter, active controlled, randomized, double-blind, |
| | parallel-group study |
| Number of Patients (planned | Planned:180 subjects |
| and analyzed) | Analyzed: 178 subjects |
| Diagnosis and Main Criteria | Inclusion: |
| for Inclusion | • Patients receiving non-opioid analgesics for cancer pain, who |
| | have not been receiving opioid analgesics |
| | • Patients whose VAS is \geq 35 mm and judged necessary to be |
| | treated with strong opioid analgesics |
| | • Patients with an ECOG Performance Status (PS) is \leq 3, etc. |
| | Exclusion: |
| | Patients with serious hepatic, renal, or respiratory |
| | disorder. |
| | • Patients with symptom(s)/finding(s) falling under the |
| | • Patients with symptom(s)/finding(s) falling under the |

SYNOPSIS

| | contraindications or relative contraindications stated in the |
|-----------------------------|---|
| | package insert for oxycodone hydrochloride powder and |
| | morphine hydrochloride preparations, etc. |
| Test Product, Dose and Mode | Test product (batch number): |
| of Administration, Batch | DS-7113b ER tablet 2 mg (D7113T2H14M01) |
| Number | DS-7113b ER tablet 6 mg (D7113T2H14M03) |
| | DS-7113b ER tablet 12 mg (D7113T2H14M05) |
| | DS-7113b ER tablet 24 mg (D7113T2H14M07) |
| | Dosage and Administration: |
| | As in the table below, subjects received a hydromorphone ER |
| | tablet or a placebo tablet orally once daily for 7 days. The initial |
| | doses of hydromorphone hydrochloride was 4 mg/day. When it |
| | was judged that a dose increase or reduce was necessary during the |
| | period of study drug administration, it was possible to increase or |
| | reduce the dose step by step. |
| | Daily dose |
| | 1 4 mg |
| | 2 8 mg |
| | |
| | 4 12 mg |
| | 5 16 mg |
| | <u> </u> |
| Duration of Treatment | Treatment period: 7 days |
| | Post-treatment observation period: 1 day |
| Reference Therapy, Dose and | Reference Therapy (batch number): |
| Mode of Administration, | Oxycodone hydrochloride ER tablet 5 mg (3462) |
| Batch Number | Oxycodone hydrochloride ER tablet 10 mg (3456) |
| Daten Number | Oxycodone hydrochloride ER tablet 20 mg (3435) |
| | Dosage and Administration: |
| | As in the table below, subjects received oxycodone hydrochloride |
| | |
| | ER tablet or placebo tablet orally once daily for 7 days. The initial |
| | doses of oxycodone hydrochloride was 10 mg/day. When it was |
| | judged that a dose increase or reduce was necessary during the |
| | period of study drug administration, it was possible to increase or |
| | reduce the dose step by step. |
| | Daily dose |
| | 1 10 mg |

| | 2 20 mg |
|-------------------------|---|
| | |
| | $\frac{3 40 \text{ mg}}{4 20}$ |
| | 4 60 mg |
| | <u> </u> |
| | <u>6 80 mg</u> |
| Criteria for Evaluation | Efficacy: Change of VAS between pre-treatment and end of |
| | treatment (Primary endpoint) |
| | Safety: Adverse event, Clinical laboratory evaluation |
| Statistical Method | Primary endpoint: |
| | Summary statistics were calculated for VAS scores at baseline, at |
| | treatment completion/discontinuation and for the change in VAS |
| | scores. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted using |
| | the baseline VAS scores as a covariate to calculate the 95% CI |
| | (two-sided) for a difference in the least squares mean in the |
| | magnitude of change in VAS scores between the hydromorphone |
| | and oxycodone groups VAS score, and ensured that the upper limit |
| | did not exceed 10 mm, which was defined as the non-inferiority |
| | limit. P-values and least squares means for each group were |
| | calculated. |
| Summary - Conclusion | • The intergroup difference (95% CI) in the least squares mean |
| | for the change in VAS scores at completion/discontinuation of |
| | treatment was -0.4 mm (-5.9 to 5.0 mm). Given that the |
| | upper limit of the 95% CI was <10 mm, the non-inferiority |
| | limit determined at the time of planning. Therefore, the |
| | non-inferiority of hydromorphone relative to oxycodone was |
| | suggested. |
| | • The incidence of adverse events was 80.7% in the |
| | hydromorphone group and 83.7% in the oxycodone group, |
| | and no significant intergroup difference was observed. |
| | However the incidence rates of nausea and vomiting were |
| | higher in the hydromorphone group than in the oxycodone |
| | group, tthe severity of nausea and vomiting was mild or |
| | moderate in all these patients and approximately 70% was |
| | mild of these patients. No significant intergroup differences of |
| | the incidence of serious adverse event, severe adverse event |
| | |
| | and adverse event leading to discontinuation of study |

| | Therefore, the efficacy and safety of hydromorphone ER tablets are |
|----------------|--|
| | comparable to those of oxycodone ER tablets formulation. |
| Date of Report | March 26, 2018 |